

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/917,111	07/27/2001	Daniel Albert Voce	40-E-01	9782		
28581 7	590 09/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DUANE MO	RRIS LLP	BLOUNT,	BLOUNT, STEVEN			
PO BOX 5203	NI 00542 5202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203				2661		
			2001			
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>V</b>					
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/917,	111	VOCE ET AL.				
		Examine	ər	Art Unit				
		Steven I		2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commet period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication.  of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commul D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 6/29/2005						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	·,—							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
_	Claim(s) <u>1 - 6</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•	onsideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1 - 5</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	•							
ا (٥	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority of  Certified copies of the priority of  Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive	on No	ı <b>e</b>			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	·	` ''	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	/TO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	I			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of U.S. patent 6,445,707 to luoras et al.

AAPA teaches, with respect to paragraph 2 of claim 6, transmitting ABR ATM data and its associated resources management cells over a satellite (spacecraft). See page 1, lines 18 – 20, and page 2, lines 18 – 20 of Applicants written portion of the specification: "Resource management cells are interspersed with the data cells of the ATM source signal." AAPA also teaches, with respect to the last paragraph of this claim, that it is known in the art to mark the resource management cells with information regarding the presence of congestion before distributing them. See page 2, lines 28+. While AAPA does discuss the problem associated with simplistic switching capabilities aboard satellites resulting in their incapability of setting bandwidth control bits (page 4, lines 15 – 20), AAPA does not teach a solution to this problem to comprise determining spacecraft payload congestion data relating to services associated with and including, among others, ABR, and then transmitting this information to the source terminal, as required by lines 22 – 27 of this claim.

luoras et al teaches a system including a spacecraft which transmits congestion information along a separate link to the terminals. See col 8 lines 30+, col 15 lines 65+, col 16 lines 60+, and the abstract. luoras et al teaches that this is done for ABR traffic 11 "and higher priority traffic" (col 17, lines 27+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have transmitted congestion information relating to the spacecraft and transmitted it to the source terminal of AAPA in light of the teachings of luoras et al in order to provide a means for reducing congestion along the satellite link portion of the system.

- 3. Claims 1 5 are allowed.
- 4. The examiner has provided clarification with respect to AAPA, and regrets any inconvenience that this may have caused the applicant.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 571 272 3071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Chau Nguyen, can be reached on 571 – 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,111

Art Unit: 2661

6. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner

Page 4

SB